MCST Data Placemat Pre-Meeting Facilitation Plan

Objectives
1. Review results / data at the IR level to help participants begin thinking about strategy-level (rather than activity-level) results,
2. Begin the conversation about potential pivots/changes, and
3. Get participants excited about their upcoming MCST!

Approach
- Welcome and Framing (5 minutes)
- DO Team Lead Opening Remarks / Reminder of the development hypothesis (5 minutes)
- Participants independently review the data placemat /ask clarifying questions (5 minutes)
- Facilitated large group work (virtual post-its &/or comments in chat on placemat) and discussion on progress under the DO:
  - Where are we seeing progress as anticipated? Why might that be?
  - Where aren’t we seeing the progress that we anticipated? What might explain this?
  - What changes might we need to make now/soon in order to still meet our longer-term development objectives?
- Wrap up: Summary prognosis for the DO (10 minutes)
  - Facilitator Summation: Answer last box on placemat (the red box)

Time Required - approximately 60 minutes

Materials
Data placemats | Slides (sample below)
Before we get started, grab a snack, coffee, whatever you need to feel comfortable and energized.

Please turn on your camera.
Agenda

Welcome!

“Kicking the Tires” on the DO Theory of Change

Wrap up & Next Steps

Pop Up Rules

Bring your whole self

“Roughly Right” → Build momentum

Everyone brings wisdom

No soap boxes

Contribute to the artifacts
“Kicking the Tires” on the Theory of Change

Artifact: Data Placemat

Process

1. Independently read the placemat

2. Group Work: Annotate the placemat
   - Where are we seeing progress as anticipated? Why might that be?
   - Where aren’t we seeing the progress that we anticipated? What might explain this?
   - What changes might we need to make now/soon in order to still meet our longer-term development objectives?

3. Verdict: Is the ToC still relevant?
“Kicking the Tires”
On the DO ToC
Artifact: Data Placemat

Orienteering our Workspace

Zoom out to see the space around the slide

Sticky notes
Diagnosing Progress Focus Questions

1. **Are we seeing progress toward our IR level outcomes?** Are we making the anticipated progress toward achieving our DO? Why/why not? Has progress been made more quickly in some areas than in others?

2. **What sectors are most impacted by corruption?** How should this inform our development hypothesis and programming decisions? How should this guide how we work with different stakeholders?

3. **Are the results collectively indicative of reduced corruption in sectors of interest?** Is systemic corruption continuing in spite of positive results? Why?

---

Descriptive header about IR1

- Key assumptions/risks/“because statements” from the PMP requiring discussion

Data Viz 1: IR-level data for IR 1

---

Descriptive header about IR2

- Data Viz 2: IR-level data for IR 2.1
- Data Viz 3: IR-level data for IR 2.2
- Data Viz 4: IR-level data for IR 2.3

---

Descriptive header about IR3

- Data Viz 5: IR-level data for IR 3

---

**So...Is the Development Hypothesis/TOC still relevant?**

**Save some space in this box to document the conclusions from the group conversation**