Introduction

This resource supplements ADS 201.3.5.7. It provides an overview of performance indicator requirements and outlines practical steps and considerations for selecting performance indicators. The primary audience includes Project and Activity Managers and Monitoring and Evaluation Specialists.

Performance Indicators: The Basics

WHAT IS A PERFORMANCE INDICATOR?

An indicator is a quantifiable measure of a characteristic or condition of people, institutions, systems, or processes that may change over time. *Performance* indicators measure expected outputs and outcomes of strategies, projects, or activities based on a Mission’s Results Framework or project or activity logic model. Performance indicators are the basis for observing progress and measuring actual results compared to expected results. Performance indicators help answer the extent to which a Mission or Washington Operating Unit (OU) is progressing toward its objective(s), but alone cannot tell a Mission or Washington OU why such progress is or is not being made. While performance indicators are not the only means of monitoring the performance of strategies, projects, and activities, they are an important part of such monitoring efforts.

WHEN ARE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS REQUIRED IN THE USAID PROGRAM CYCLE?

Performance indicators must be included in the Country Development Cooperation Strategy (CDCS); Mission-wide Performance Management Plan (PMP); and Project Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning (MEL) Plans. Performance indicators are also typically included in Activity MEL Plans. Requirements include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Cycle Phase</th>
<th>Required Performance Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Planning</td>
<td>• At least one <em>preliminary or illustrative</em> performance indicator for each Intermediate Result (IR) and each sub-IR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCS</td>
<td>• At least one performance indicator for each IR and sub-IR identified in the CDCS Results Framework; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• At least one performance indicator for any Project Purpose that is not aligned to an IR or sub-IR, following Project Appraisal Document (PAD) approval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Selecting Performance Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Cycle Phase</th>
<th>Required Performance Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Design</strong></td>
<td>• At least one performance indicator to monitor progress toward achievement of the Project Purpose; and • Any other key project performance indicators to monitor progress toward achievement of significant and relevant expected project outcomes below the Project Purpose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Activity Design</strong></td>
<td>• Activity Managers ensure that Activity MEL Plans include relevant performance indicators of activity outputs and outcomes for management and reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reporting</strong>¹</td>
<td>• At least one performance indicator for each IR in the CDCS. • <em>Applicable</em> standard foreign assistance indicators.²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WHAT REQUIREMENTS MUST BE MET WHEN USING PERFORMANCE INDICATORS?

Every performance indicator used by a USAID OU must:

- have a **baseline**;
- have **targets** set prior to reporting data;
- be **disaggregated** by sex when measuring person-level data; and
- have a **Performance Indicator Reference Sheet (PIRS)**, complete and sufficient within three months of the start of indicator data collection.

In addition,

- Performance indicator data must be **stored** in an indicator tracking table or monitoring information system.
- A **Data Quality Assessment (DQA)** must be conducted for each performance indicator reported to external entities, including all performance indicators reported in the PPR, at least once every three years.

Further information about these requirements of performance indicators can be found in [ADS 201.3.5.7](#).

¹ In addition to the PPR, specific initiatives, sectors, or funding streams may impose additional requirements on monitoring progress with performance indicators.

² An indicator is *applicable* if the OU is expected to produce results that contribute to that indicator. Further information can be found in the [annual PPR guidance](#).
Types of Performance Indicators

There are several different ways to categorize performance indicators at USAID that are useful to consider when selecting or developing performance indicators for strategies, projects, and activities.

OUTPUTS AND OUTCOME INDICATORS

Output indicators measure what is produced as a direct result of inputs. Outputs are the tangible, immediate, and intended products or consequences of an activity within USAID’s control or influence. Examples include number of health workers trained and number of bednets distributed.

Outcome indicators measure the condition of people, systems, or institutions that are expected to be affected by USAID interventions. Outcomes are any result higher than an output to which a given output contributes, but for which it is not solely responsible. Examples include proportion of households with increased disposable income, percentage of children reading at grade level, and child mortality rates.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE INDICATORS

Quantitative indicators are based on underlying numerical data. Examples include number of teachers trained or percent of households with incomes above a poverty threshold.

Qualitative indicators are quantifiable, but are based on subjective criteria, such as beneficiary perceptions or expert review. One common qualitative indicator is a milestone indicator, which measures progress along a path, such as steps taken to pass a law through the legislature. Another qualitative indicator is a rating scale indicator, which asks respondents to make value judgments on an ordinal scale (such as a 1 to 5 scale, where 1 equals “strongly disagree” and 5 equals “strongly disagree”).

CUSTOM AND STANDARD INDICATORS

Custom indicators are developed by an OU and do not share a common definition across OUs. Custom performance indicators are used for monitoring the achievement of activity, project, and strategy results as determined by the OUs. Custom indicators may also be used by the OU for reporting in the Performance Plan and Report (PPR) to supplement standard foreign assistance indicators.

Standard indicators are used to consistently collect and report comparable indicator data from multiple OUs. Standard indicators have established definitions and measurement instructions in standard indicator reference sheets that do not differ across OUs. Standard indicators include, but are not limited to, the standard foreign assistance indicators, Feed the Future indicators, and Food for Peace indicators. The Department of State and USAID OUs are required to use standard foreign assistance indicators when they are applicable and to report this data in the PPR. The master list of standard foreign assistance indicators and the standard reference sheets are available at https://pages.usaid.gov/f/ppr (for internal users only) and http://www.state.gov/f/indicators (for external users). Other indicator resources and handbooks are listed in the Indicator Resources document in the Monitoring Toolkit. Note: If an OU makes a change to a standard foreign assistance indicator’s definition then the indicator is no longer standard and should be considered a custom indicator.
OTHER TYPES

*Index (or composite) indicators* combine two or more data sources into a single measure. They are often used for measuring results that are multidimensional in nature. Examples of commonly reported indices include the *Corruption Perceptions Index* and the *Women’s Empowerment in Agriculture Index*.

*Proxy indicators* are indirect measures of a result that are linked to the result by one or more assumptions. They are often used when the most direct indicator is not practical. For example, in places where measuring household income is difficult because households engage in a variety of informal income-generating activities, household consumption is an appropriate proxy indicator for household income.

*Gender-sensitive indicators* point out to what extent and in what ways development programs and projects achieved results related to gender equality and whether/how reducing gaps between males/females and empowering women leads to better project/development outcomes.

**Steps to Selecting Performance Indicators**

The selection of performance indicators is a process that occurs throughout the Program Cycle. At the earliest phases of CDCS development, for instance, illustrative performance indicators may be selected to help articulate Intermediate Results (IRs). In later phases of activity implementation, new performance indicators may need to be selected as an adaptation to a changing local context. Consequently, there is no single process for selecting performance indicators.

The following set of steps provides a general process for selecting indicators that is broadly applicable during the strategic, project, and activity planning phases of the program cycle. Throughout this process, USAID operating units should strive for a collaborative process of indicator selection that engages both internal and external stakeholders (see box).

**STEP 1: REVIEW THE RELEVANT THEORY OF CHANGE**

Program Cycle planning and design lay the foundation for performance monitoring. Review the relevant theory of change (or development hypothesis) from strategy, project, or activity design documents to understand the results that are expected to be achieved and how they are expected to be achieved. During the strategic planning phase of the Program Cycle, this review should examine the development hypothesis and each associated result under each Development Objective in the Results Framework.
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At the project and activity design phases of the Program Cycle, this review should examine the relevant theories of change and their associated logic models in project and activity design documents. Determine if design documents include clear and comprehensive descriptions of:

- the context in which the development problem is situated;
- if-then (causal) outcomes needed to achieve the desired change;
- major interventions that USAID and others will undertake to catalyze these outcomes; and
- key assumptions that underlie the success of this theory;

If the design documents lack these elements, check with the relevant project or activity planners or managers for additional or updated information. The ability to select meaningful and useful performance indicators relies on a clearly articulated theory of change and set of expected results. With those in place, ideas for potential indicators of the expected results should begin to emerge from the review of the design documents.

**STEP 2: REVIEW THE RELEVANT MONITORING, EVALUATION, AND LEARNING PLANS**

Review the Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning Plans from earlier phases of the Program Cycle, if they exist, before moving to indicator selection. For example, at the project design stage, review the Mission-wide PMP. At the activity design stage, review the PMP and the MEL Plan for the project to which the activity belongs. Finally, review the evaluation and learning sections (if available) for the same MEL Plan to which the indicators under development will contribute.

The purpose of reviewing these MEL Plans is twofold. First, reviewing the monitoring plans from earlier phases in the Program Cycle should help reveal what indicators need to be selected to support the earlier monitoring plans. For example, when selecting indicators during activity design, a review of the monitoring plan of the project to which the activity belongs should reveal the activity level indicators that were expected to contribute to the project monitoring plan.

Second, a review of the evaluation and learning plans will reveal what knowledge gaps and learning and evaluation questions have been identified so that indicators can be selected that will inform efforts to fill the gaps and answer the questions.

**STEP 3: DETERMINE WHICH RESULTS IN THE THEORY OF CHANGE SHOULD BE MONITORED WITH PERFORMANCE INDICATORS**

As previously noted, at the strategic planning phase of the Program Cycle, performance indicators must be selected for each IR and sub-IR identified in the Results Framework. Strategic planners may also measure a Development Objective with a performance indicator, but only if the Mission deems it appropriate to do so.

At the project and activity design phases of the Program Cycle, however, there is much greater flexibility in deciding what to monitor. While a Project Purpose must have at least one associated performance indicator, not every output or outcome identified in a project or activity logic model needs to be tracked
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with a performance indicator. When examining the expected outputs and outcomes described in a theory of change and logic model, consider the following questions:

- What are the most important outputs and intermediate outcomes in the logic model that will require performance monitoring to document progress and build evidence that the theory of change is working?
- What is the feasibility of monitoring a particular output or outcome? Is the benefit of monitoring a particular output or outcome higher than the cost of monitoring it?
- Where are the weak points in the theory of change that may need attention during performance monitoring? Collecting performance indicator data at weak points in the theory of change may help signal when adaptation is necessary.
- For outputs and outcomes that are deemed important to monitor, is a performance indicator the most suitable or the only means of monitoring progress? Site visits, key stakeholder interviews, and/or periodic qualitative monitoring reports may be more appropriate means of monitoring performance than performance indicators for some intended results.
- Finally, what reporting requirements apply? If the expected outputs and outcomes of the activity can be measured by a standard foreign assistance indicator, then the requirement to use a standard foreign assistance indicator may apply. Similarly, if the activity or project is conducted under an initiative, such as the President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR), then additional requirements on the selection of performance indicators may apply.

STEP 4: IDENTIFY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS TO MEASURE SELECTED RESULTS

Gathering staff and stakeholders in working sessions to deliberate and select performance indicators is the most critical and challenging step in this process. Consider using an iterative process to help ensure that participation is not overly onerous. When selecting and approving performance indicators in Activity MEL Plans, USAID should work closely with the relevant partners expected to implement and monitor USAID activities.

A productive indicator working session should begin with generating a list of possible indicators for measuring expected results. The list will then need to be prioritized and reduced.

As described in **ADS 201.3.5.7**, selecting indicators is a balance between:

- The quantity and quality of indicator data needed for management decision making and reporting on strategies, projects, and activities; and
- The management and financial resources required to collect and analyze those indicators.

Expanding on these basic principles of selecting indicators, the Bureau for Policy, Planning, and Learning (PPL) recommends:

**Consider selecting or adapting indicators rather than developing indicators from scratch.** The results that a Mission expects to achieve with any particular strategy, project, or activity may be entirely specific to that Mission and the context in which it is implemented. However, it is often the case that local actors or other donors are trying to achieve similar results in the same local context.
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USAID or others may also be attempting to achieve similar development results in other contexts. Consequently, performance indicators may already exist for measuring the progress toward your expected results. Missions are encouraged to research indicator resources, including partner government sources for relevant performance indicators to be selected or adapted to their needs. It is often prudent and efficient to use a previously vetted indicator rather than create one.

**Compare potential indicators to the data quality standards.** When selecting performance indicators, consider how well the data collected for this performance indicator will compare against the data quality standards.

- **Validity:** Does this indicator clearly and adequately measure the intended result?
- **Integrity:** Is this indicator not conducive to manipulation or transcription errors?
- **Precision:** Is the indicator precise enough to measure expected changes?
- **Reliability:** Will this indicator be collected consistently over time and across locations?
- **Timeliness:** Will the frequency and timing of indicator data collection be useful for management decision making?

Indicator data that do not sufficiently meet data quality standards could result in an erosion of confidence in the data, or could lead to bad decision-making. Additional resources to help with assessing the strengths and weaknesses of each indicator can be found in ADS 201 Additional Help, USAID Recommended DQA Assessment Checklist.

**Be selective in choosing how many performance indicators will be tracked per result.** A single performance indicator is often sufficient for monitoring an expected result. In some circumstances, though, it may be useful to select multiple performance indicators to track various aspects or dimensions of the expected result. For instance, an expected result of reducing hunger may be measured by both the prevalence of undernourishment and by the prevalence of moderate or severe food insecurity in the population. However, more is not always better. PPL recommends limiting the number of performance indicators to no more than three performance indicators per each expected result that is being monitored to avoid overly complicating the monitoring process.

At the end of the process of selecting individual performance indicators, consider the entire set of indicators as a whole and how they relate to each other. Ultimately, this process should result in a set of indicators, each of which is necessary, and (together with other monitoring, evaluation, and learning efforts), are sufficient for managing the strategy, project, or activity effectively. The final set of indicators should also meet all reporting requirements and ideally include a mix of both standard foreign assistance indicators and custom indicators.

**STEP 5: START DOCUMENTING THE INDICATOR REFERENCE INFORMATION IN THE PIRS**

The best time to start drafting a PIRS is immediately after selecting the indicator. The PIRS is not required to be completed until three months after data collection has started, but starting the process early will help clarify details or reveal gaps in the indicator definition and data collection methods.

For performance indicator data collected by implementing partners or MEL support contracts, the PIRSs should be completed in collaboration with these partners prior to final approval by USAID. Such
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collaboration is important for ensuring a common understanding across all parties about the indicator definition and data collection method. If a performance indicator has been selected prior to selection of the implementing partner who will collect it, for instance during project design, USAID staff may still wish to start the PIRS documentation process and adjust the details when the implementing partner who will conduct data collection has been selected.

Immediately after indicator selection is a particularly good time to document the rationale for selecting the indicator in the PIRS. There are rarely perfect indicators in the development environment—it is more often a case of weighing different criteria and making the optimal choices for a particular program. Although recording the rationale in a PIRS is optional, it helps new staff, implementers, or auditors understand why each indicator was selected.

**STEP 6: REVISIT AND REVISE**

Refinements to performance indicators after initial selection are common. When moving from planning to implementation, further input from implementing partners may prompt the reconsideration of selected indicators and their definitions. Once collection of performance indicator data begins, unexpected obstacles to efficiently collecting the data may lead to revisions in data collection procedures. DQAs may reveal shortcomings in the validity or reliability of indicators requiring further refinement to selected indicators.

Whether or not performance indicator data collection reveals problems with the selected indicators, it is a good idea to revisit performance indicators after some rounds of data collection are completed. Annual, semi-annual, or quarterly portfolio reviews, project reviews, and activity reviews are convenient times to revisit a full slate of performance indicators and discuss indicator issues and possible refinements with relevant staff and partners.

While refining or changing indicators may be necessary, decisions to change an indicator after data collection has started should be considered carefully. Changing an indicator reduces the ability to meaningful comparisons of indicator data over time.

Developing a process that periodically solicits feedback and input from implementing partners and other stakeholders will ensure the indicators stay relevant and are useful for management purposes. Decisions to refine an indicator should be documented in the indicator’s PIRS. Decisions to add or drop indicators should also be documented in the appropriate plan, such as the PMP, Project or Activity MEL Plan, and/or PPR.