

MCST Data Placemat Pre-Meeting Facilitation Plan

Objectives

1. Review results / data at the IR level to help participants begin thinking about strategy-level (rather than activity-level) results,
2. Begin the conversation about potential pivots/changes, and
3. Get participants excited about their upcoming MCST!

Approach

- Welcome and Framing (5 minutes)
- DO Team Lead Opening Remarks / Reminder of the development hypothesis (5 minutes)
- Participants independently review the data placemat /ask clarifying questions (5 minutes)
- Facilitated large group work (virtual post-its &/or comments in chat on placemat) and discussion on progress under the DO:
 - Where are we seeing progress as anticipated? Why might that be?
 - Where aren't we seeing the progress that we anticipated? What might explain this?
 - What changes might we need to make now/soon in order to still meet our longer-term development objectives?
- Wrap up: Summary prognosis for the DO (10 minutes)
 - Facilitator Summation: Answer last box on placemat (the red box)

Time Required - approximately 60 minutes

Materials

Data placemats | Slides (sample below)



Before we get started, grab a snack, coffee, whatever you need to feel comfortable and energized.

Please turn on your camera.

Agenda

Welcome!

“Kicking the Tires” on the DO Theory of Change

Wrap up & Next Steps



Pop Up Rules

Bring your whole self

“Roughly Right” → Build momentum

Everyone brings wisdom

No soap boxes

Contribute to the artifacts

“Kicking the Tires” on the Theory of Change

Artifact: Data Placemat

Process

1. Independently read the placemat
2. Group Work: Annotate the placemat
 - *Where are we seeing progress as anticipated? Why might that be?*
 - *Where aren't we seeing the progress that we anticipated? What might explain this?*
 - *What changes might we need to make now/soon in order to still meet our longer-term development objectives?*
3. Verdict: Is the ToC still relevant?

“Kicking the Tires” On the DO ToC

Artifact: Data Placemat

Orienteering our Workspace

Zoom out to see
the space around
the slide

The screenshot shows a Google Slides workspace with a slide titled "DO 2: Impacts of Russia's Aggression Mitigated". The slide content includes:

- Diagnosing Progress Focus Questions**
 - (1) Are we seeing progress toward our 18-level outcomes? As such, are we making progress towards the DO? Why/why not? Has progress been made more quickly in some areas than others?
 - (2) What sectors demonstrate main growth, and how should this guide our programming? How should this guide how we work with different stakeholders?
- IP 1. CONDITIONS IMPROVED FOR REINTEGRATION**
 - From receiving USD assistance demonstrating high-also growth, for achieving targets. The number of low-income beneficiaries receiving legal aid achieved the target value. However, the percentage of clients demonstrating reporting improvement of their economic situation is about 3 times lower than the target value and most in total instances in trading framework according to USCIB and lower than the target.
 - Target achievement: 100% vs 33%
- IP 2. ENERGY SECURITY ADVANCED THROUGH COMPETITIVE MARKETS**
 - The Energy Community Agreement demonstrates significant progress in aligning the regulatory of the Gas, Electricity and Renewable Energy sectors with EU positions. USD provided expert contributions to enable Ukraine electricity grid operation isolated from Russia. The number of new and rehabilitated or repaired with USD support to enhance energy sector governance are significantly higher than the target target for establishing the liberal gas market is progressing as planned, meeting the target.
 - Scenario values on the higher energy markets are developed and aligned with the EU Third Energy Package.
 - Target achievement: 100% vs 35%
- IP 3. INCREASED AVAILABILITY AND CONSUMPTION OF QUALITY INFORMATION**
 - All media related indicators are increasing in planned. The number of socially relevant content produced with USD assistance is growing as well as the audience of the content. The number of media monitoring reports prepared for public discussion surpasses the target by 187 reports.
 - Target achievement: 100% vs 81%
- IP 4. COMMON CIVIC VALUES INCREASINGLY EMBRACED**
 - The Ukrainian Identity Score is rising gradually and does not reach the target. The number of civil societies formed in the Eastern region is also below the target. The number of individuals from vulnerable groups building their economic resilience programs, as well as the number of individuals participating in civil activities in target areas, surpasses the target.
 - Target achievement: 100% vs 78%
- So...Is the Development Hypothesis/TOC still relevant?**

Sticky notes are placed on the slide:

- Top left: "Where are we seeing the progress that we anticipated? What might explain this?"
- Top right: "Where aren't we seeing the progress that we anticipated? What might explain this?"
- Bottom right: "What changes might we need to make now/soon in order to still meet our longer-term development objectives?"

A zoomed-out view of the workspace is shown in the top left corner, with a magnifying glass icon and a plus sign. The workspace shows multiple slides, a search bar, and navigation tools.

Sticky notes

DO I: Corruption Reduced in Target Sectors

(Sample Mock-up Data Placemat)

Diagnosing Progress Focus Questions

1. **Are we seeing progress toward our IR level outcomes? Are we making the anticipated progress toward achieving our DO?** Why/why not? Has progress been made more quickly in some areas than in others?
2. **What sectors are most impacted by corruption?** How should this inform our development hypothesis and programming decisions? How should this guide how we work with different stakeholders?
3. **Are the results collectively indicative of reduced corruption in sectors of interest?** Is systemic corruption continuing in spite of positive results? Why?

- Key assumptions/risks/"because statements" from the PMP requiring discussion

Descriptive header about IR 1

Data Viz 1: IR-level data for IR 1

Descriptive header about IR2

Data Viz 2:
IR-level data for IR 2.1

Data Viz 3:
IR-level data for IR 2.2

Data Viz 4:
IR-level data for IR 2.3

Descriptive header about IR3)

Data Viz 5: IR-level data for IR 3

So...Is the Development Hypothesis/TOC still relevant?

** Save some space in this box to document the conclusions from the group conversation **